Lloyd Bernstein, OSB #002030

E-mail: lloyd.bernstein@bullivant.com

Alexander H. Hill, OSB #135515

E-mail: alexander.hill@bullivant.com BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC One SW Columbia Street, Suite 800

Portland, Oregon 97204 Telephone: 503.228.6351 Facsimile: 503.295.0915

Attorneys for Defendant Brett Simic

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

LISA BENARON, an Oregon individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRETT SIMIC, a Wyoming individual,

Defendant.

Civil No.: 3:19-CV-01653-BR

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

One or more of the parties has requested the production of documents or information that at least one party considers to be or to contain confidential information, and that may be subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

The parties agree that good cause exists to protect the confidential nature of the information contained in documents, interrogatory responses, responses to requests for admission, or deposition testimony. This action concerns allegations by Plaintiff that

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
Page 1

Case 3:19-cv-01653-BR Document 24 Filed 08/07/20 Page 2 of 10

Defendant made statements which interfered with her business relations, painted her in a

false light, inflicted emotional distress, and are defamatory and constitute unlawful

harassment. Defendant denies these allegations.

The parties expect to exchange documents and information relating to Plaintiff's

personal information, employment information, business relations, financial information, and

personal communications regarding her personal activities and life. Plaintiff alleges that

unprotected disclosure of such information risks subjecting her or other third parties to

annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense. The parties agree that

the entry of this Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order") is warranted to prevent

disclosure of such documents which Plaintiff alleges through this action could bring her

further harm if disclosed.

Based upon the above stipulation of the parties, and the Court being duly advised,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. No document shall be subject to this order unless it is so designated by the

parties in this case and the designating party has good cause for such protection pursuant to

FRCP 26(c). All documents, testimony, and other materials produced by the parties in this

case and designated "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" in accordance with this order

shall be used only in this proceeding and subject to the following restrictions.

2. Use of any information or documents labeled "Confidential" or "Attorneys'

Eyes Only" and subject to this Protective Order, including all information derived therefrom,

shall be restricted solely to the litigation of this case and shall not be used by any party for

any business, commercial, or competitive purpose or to harass, annoy, or cause

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
Page 2

One SW Columbia Street, Suite 800 Portland, Oregon 97204-4022 Telephone: 503.228.6351 Case 3:19-cv-01653-BR Document 24 Filed 08/07/20 Page 3 of 10

embarrassment to other party. This Protective Order, however, does not restrict the

disclosure or use of any information or documents lawfully obtained by the receiving party

through means or sources outside of this litigation. Should a dispute arise as to any specific

information or document, the burden shall be on the party claiming that such information or

document was lawfully obtained through means and sources outside of this litigation.

3. The parties, and third parties subpoenaed by one of the parties, may designate

as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" documents, testimony, written responses, or

other materials produced in this case if they contain information that the producing party has

a good faith basis for asserting is confidential under the applicable legal standards. The party

shall designate each page of the document with a stamp identifying it as "Confidential" or

"Attorneys' Eyes Only," if practical to do so. If the non-designating party objects to such

designation, it is the burden of the designating party to demonstrate that good cause for

protection exists pursuant to FRCP 26(c) and this Order.

4. If portions of documents or other materials deemed "Confidential" or

"Attorneys' Eyes Only" or any papers containing or making reference to such materials are

filed with the Court, they shall be filed under seal and marked as follows or in substantially

similar form:

CONFIDENTIAL

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE

ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS

CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY

PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED

IN PARAGRAPH 7 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

or

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
Page 3

One SW Columbia Street, Suite 800 Portland, Oregon 97204-4022 Telephone: 503.228.6351 Case 3:19-cv-01653-BR Document 24 Filed 08/07/20 Page 4 of 10

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AND SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

If a party is filing a document that it has itself designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," that party shall reference this Stipulated Protective Order in submitting the documents it proposes to maintain under seal. If a non-designating party is filing a document that another party has designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," then the non-designating party shall file the document under seal. If the non-designating party makes a request in writing to have the document unsealed and designating party does not file, within ten calendar days, a motion that shows good cause to maintain the document under seal, then the Court shall unseal the document. Before seeking to maintain the protection of documents filed with the Court, a party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to complete nondisclosure.

- 5. Within thirty (30) days after receipt of the final transcript of the deposition of any party or witness in this case, a party or the witness may designate as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" any portion of the transcript that the party or witness contends discloses confidential information. If a transcript containing any such material is filed with the Court, it shall be filed under seal and marked in the manner described in paragraph 4. Unless otherwise agreed, all deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" until the expiration of the thirty-day period.
- 6. "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" information and documents subject to this Protective Order shall not be filed with the Court or included in whole or in part in

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

pleadings, motions, briefs, etc., filed in this case, except when any portion(s) of such pleadings, motions, briefs, etc. have been filed under seal by counsel and marked in the same manner as described in paragraph 4 above. Such sealed portion(s) of pleadings, motions, briefs, documents, etc., shall be opened only by the Court or by personnel authorized to do so by the Court.

- 7. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Confidential," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the following persons, who agree to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order, unless additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court:
 - a. Outside counsel of record for the parties, and the administrative staff of outside counsel's firms.
 - b. In-house counsel for the parties, and the administrative staff for each in-house counsel.
 - c. Any party to this action who is an individual, and every employee, director, officer, or manager of any party to this action who is not an individual, but only to the extent necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.
 - d. Independent consultants or expert witnesses (including partners, associates and employees of the firm which employs such consultant or expert) retained by a party or its attorneys for purposes of this litigation, but only to the extent necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.
 - e. The Court and its personnel, including, but not limited to, stenographic reporters regularly employed by the Court and stenographic reporters not regularly employed by the Court who are engaged by the Court or the parties during the litigation of this action,

- f. The authors and the original recipients of the documents.
- g. Any court reporter or videographer reporting a deposition.
- h. Employees of copy services, microfilming or database services, trial support firms and/or translators who are engaged by the parties during the litigation of this action.
- 8. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Attorneys' Eyes Only," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the persons listed in paragraphs 7(a), 7(b), 7(d), 7(e), 7(g) and 7(h), unless additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court.
- 9. Prior to being shown any documents produced by another party marked "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only," any person listed under paragraph 7(c) or 7(d) shall agree to be bound by the terms of this Order by signing the agreement attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. Whenever information designated as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to this Protective Order is to be discussed by a party or disclosed in a deposition, hearing, or pre-trial proceeding, the designating party may exclude from the room any person, other than persons designated in paragraphs 7 and 8, as appropriate, for that portion of the deposition, hearing or pre-trial proceeding.
- 11. Each party reserves the right to dispute the confidential status claimed by any other party or subpoenaed party in accordance with this Protective Order. If a party believes that any documents or materials have been inappropriately designated by another party or subpoenaed party, that party shall confer with counsel for the designating party. As part of that conferral, the designating party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

Case 3:19-cv-01653-BR Document 24 Filed 08/07/20 Page 7 of 10

complete non-disclosure. If the parties are unable to resolve the matter informally, a party may file an appropriate motion before the Court requesting that the Court determine whether

the Protective Order covers the document in dispute. Regardless of which party files the

motion, the party seeking to protect a document from disclosure bears the burden of

establishing good cause for why the document should not be disclosed. A party who

disagrees with another party's designation must nevertheless abide by that designation until

the matter is resolved by agreement of the parties or by order of the Court.

12. The inadvertent failure to designate a document, testimony, or other material

as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" prior to disclosure shall not operate as a waiver

of the party's right to later designate the document, testimony, or other material as

"Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only." The receiving party or its counsel shall not

disclose such documents or materials if that party or counsel knows or reasonably should

know that a claim of confidentiality would be made by the producing party. Promptly after

receiving notice from the producing party of a claim of confidentiality, the receiving party or

its counsel shall inform the producing party of all pertinent facts relating to the prior

disclosure of the newly-designated documents or materials, and shall make reasonable efforts

to retrieve such documents and materials and to prevent further disclosure.

13. Designation by either party of information or documents as "Confidential" or

"Attorneys' Eyes Only," or failure to so designate, will not be constitute an admission that

information or documents are or are not confidential or trade secrets. Neither party may

introduce into evidence in any proceeding between the parties, other than a motion to

determine whether the Protective Order covers the information or documents in dispute, the

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
Page 7

One SW Columbia Street, Suite 800 Portland, Oregon 97204-4022 Telephone: 503.228.6351 fact that the other party designated or failed to designate information or documents as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only."

- 14. Upon the request of the producing party or third party, within 30 days after the entry of a final judgment no longer subject to appeal on the merits of this case, or the execution of any agreement between the parties to resolve amicably and settle this case, the parties and any person authorized by this Protective Order to receive confidential information shall return to the producing party or third party, or destroy, all information and documents subject to this Protective Order. Returned materials shall be delivered in sealed envelopes marked "Confidential" to respective counsel. The party requesting the return of materials shall pay the reasonable costs of responding to its request. Notwithstanding the foregoing, counsel for a party may retain archival copies of confidential documents.
- 15. This Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any party's or nonparty's right to oppose any discovery request or object to the admissibility of any document, testimony or other information.
- 16. Nothing in this Protective Order shall prejudice any party from seeking amendments to expand or restrict the rights of access to and use of confidential information, or other modifications, subject to order by the Court.

///

///

///

///

///

17. The restrictions on disclosure and use of confidential information shall survive the conclusion of this action and this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action after its conclusion for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Protective Order.

So stipulated:

By: /s/ Robert Parker

Robert Parker, OSB No. 174306 E-mail: rparker@hwslawgroup.com Holt Woods & Scisciani LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff By: /s/ Alexander H. Hill

Lloyd Bernstein, OSB No. 002030 E-mail: lloyd.bernstein@bullivant.com Alexander H. Hill, OSB No. 135515 E-mail: alexander.hill@bullivant.com Bullivant Houser Bailey PC

Attorneys for Defendant

The Court has reviewed the reasons offered in support of entry of this Stipulated Protective Order and finds that there is good cause to protect the confidential nature of certain information. Accordingly, the Court adopts the above Stipulated Protective Order in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/7/20

/s/ Anna J. Brown

Hon. Anna J. Brown United States Senior District Judge

4836-3544-2887.1

| EXHIBIT A | |
|---|--|
| I,, have | e been advised by counsel of record for |
| in | |
| of the protective order governing the delivery, | publication, and disclosure of confidential |
| documents and information produced in this li- | tigation. I have read a copy of the protective |
| order and agree to abide by its terms. | |
| | |
| | |
| | Signed |
| | Printed |
| | |
| | Name Date |